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Introduction

How can policy really support lifelong learning in companies?

In today’s knowledge-based economies, the importance of lifelong learning is well-known. International organisations such as the OECD and the European Union have stressed its importance for over 20 years. The EU sees lifelong learning as essential to the success of European enterprises, and to the international economic competitiveness of Europe as a whole.

What needs to be done to develop public policies, which really support lifelong learning in companies?

The LLLight’in’Europe project has investigated how public policies can most effectively support lifelong learning for the workers in European companies. This policy brief provides evidence drawn from our research. Using the “policy trail” method we have been able to see how companies interact with public policy.

Our analysis focused on how existing public policies support lifelong learning across various EU countries. These encompassed a range of forms of governmental intervention in the regulation or shaping of lifelong learning in the private sector. Our key concerns in this policy brief are with the relations between the EU and national and regional governments. Our method allows us to investigate not only policies which are explicitly about «lifelong learning», but also other policy areas such as labour, research and development (R&D) policy, social care, and educational policy.
Key Observations

Lifelong learning takes place across a wide range of private and public activities.

In policy terms, lifelong learning is a “transversal” matter: that is, it plays an important support function across a wide range of policy areas.

For similar reasons, lifelong learning is particularly susceptible to the “law of unintended consequences” – it can be strengthened or damaged almost accidentally by policies targeted on other issues. Several policy areas affect lifelong learning in companies, and sometimes their effects are not explicit or direct.

Innovation-oriented working environments seem to encourage some types of lifelong learning. In such environments, there seems less need for public support to encourage work-related lifelong learning – it happens spontaneously.

Companies are often uncertain about the effectiveness of the current public policy measures to encourage lifelong learning in the workplace.

Publicly subsided work-related training provision is widely perceived as not generally meeting employees’ specific lifelong learning needs. This is especially true in knowledge-intensive and innovation-oriented working environments.

Effective utilization of public policy provisions and funds in the areas of education and vocational training, as well as innovation and R&D, entails experience in generating relevant lifelong learning opportunities for employees.

Continuing education at work is funded almost exclusively by employers and the private sector. In some countries, particularly post-transition economies, many public adult learning initiatives have limited impact on SMEs because of excessive administrative requirements. Inspired by the EU, public bodies focus on regulation, but companies tend to see public provision as neither efficient nor well-targeted.

Companies’ awareness of public support for lifelong learning is often limited.
Inspired by the EU, public bodies focus on regulation, but companies see public provision as neither efficient nor well targeted.

Collective agreements between social partners are a powerful mechanism in enforcing workers’ right to continual participation in lifelong learning. They can press companies to invest in their human resources and shape the management of professional development.

Regulation of professions (e.g., architects, health and social care professionals) creates lifelong learning needs and has a significant positive impact in ensuring companies implement training and staff development plans.

Public investment in training of trainers provides support for quality and for the appropriate adjustment of learning and training methodologies to suit different learner groups.

Lifelong learning matters for the success of policies across many areas—not only in education and training. When planning, policy-makers should be aware that encouraging lifelong learning among key groups may make the difference between success and failure.

In allocating public funding for vocationally-related lifelong learning, policy-makers should take note the likelihood that companies and employees in some sectors, particularly technologically-advanced sectors, may invest in training without public subsidy. They should consider how far it is cost-effective to support through public funds what individuals or enterprises would fund in any case.

Policy-makers should recognise and support the key role of regulatory frameworks, in particular those developed by professional bodies, in encouraging work-related learning.
Tailor-made provision of formal courses may be more effective in meeting lifelong learning needs than more uniform provision.

Public investment in training of trainers should remain a priority.

Research Parameters

The policy trail method involves combining analysis of national legislation and policy initiatives with the results of case study research in and around enterprises. Fourteen case studies were conducted, involving interviews with samples of managers, workers and policy actors, and analysis of company documents. The enterprises were located in Denmark (1), Germany (7), Italy (1), Slovakia (1) and Spain (4).
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